

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/786,627	04/17/2001	Joseph R. Lakowicz	2542-101	3516	
23373 75	590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SNAY, JEFFREY R		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037		·· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1743		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	/ <u>(</u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
•	09/786,627		LAKOWICZ ET AL	.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Jeffrey R. Snay		1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howen within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) day: SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	lugust 2003 .		•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims				e merits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application		• .				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consider	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.			•	•		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-42</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•	. •	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			ved by the Examine	er.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		uon.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	v priority updor 35	SUSC \$ 110/a) (d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 30	0.5.C. 9 119(a	<i>)-</i> (a) or (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•			Stago		
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 1	l7.2(a)).		Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 				•		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTO			

Application/Control Number: 09/786,627

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method including an analyte dependent fluorophore having a ns decay time in combination with a reference fluorophore having a longer microsecond decay time, does not reasonably provide enablement for the presently recited claims without these limitations. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to perform the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification clearly establishes that the disclosed invention contemplates the discovery by applicant that monitoring the amplitude modulation at intermediate frequencies of emission from a pair of fluorophores, one an analyte responsive fluorophore and of ns decay time and the second a reference fluorophore of a longer microsecond decay time, renders the detected emission of both fluorophores to be equivalent to the fraction of the total emission of the short lifetime fluorophore. See page 3, lines 13-15, and page 5, lines 1-11 of the specification. The disclosed method thus clearly requires these limitations as to the first and second fluorophores in order to achieve the contemplated result.

Application/Control Number: 09/786,627

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 10, 14, 16, 23-28 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lakowicz et al (US 5246867).

Lakowicz et al disclose an analytical method comprising irradiating a sample including a first fluorophore (donor) which is responsive to the analyte and a second fluorophore (acceptor). The sample is irradiated with intensity modulated light and the emission from the sample is analysed by phase-modulation to determine the presence of the analyte of interest, such as glucose. See particularly columns 5 and 6 of Lakowicz et al. A modulation frequency of 100 MHz is disclosed (see example 6). Specific embodiments of Lakowicz et al disclose glucose sensitive fluorophores bound to concanavolin A (column 5, lines 37-42). The irradiation can be performed with an electroluminescent device (column 5, lines 20-24). The clinical diagnostic method of Lakowicz et al would have been capable of characterization as any of a bioprocessing reaction, analytical chemistry process, or industrially or process control, as presently recited in claims 33-35 respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 37-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/786,627 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 08-25-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 6. Applicant argues that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, improperly requires limitation of the claims to an exemplary embodiment. The argument is not persuasive because the limitations noted in the grounds of rejection as omitted from the claims are disclosed as being required rather than merely preferred. See e.g. page 3, lines 13-15, and page 5, lines 1-11 of the specification.
- 7. Applicant further traverses the rejection based on prior art because the prior art method involves energy transfer between the first and second fluorophores, which is not required in the instant claims. However, energy transfer between fluorophores is not precluded by the instant claims and in fact is recited to the extent that claim 1 requires light emitted by the first fluorophore to irradiate the second fluorophore. Applicant further argues that the prior art method requires the first fluorophore to be insensitive to analyte. However, the noted disclosure in the prior art reference relates to binding insensitivity rather than emission sensitivity. The instant claims require the first fluorophore to absorb incident light in a manner which is sensitive to analyte. Such incident light absorption is clearly present and required in the prior art method in order to attain the disclosed optical analyte detection.

Art Unit: 1743

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4032. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JEFFREY SNAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5